
Chief Executive's Office

Please ask for: Dianne Scambler
Direct Dial: (01257) 515034
E-mail address: dianne.scambler@chorley.gov.uk
Date: 3 April 2007

Chief Executive: Donna Hall

Chorley
Council

Town Hall
Market Street
Chorley
Lancashire
PR7 1DP

Dear Councillor

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - TUESDAY, 3RD APRIL 2007


These items were tabled at the meeting of Development Control Committee held on Tuesday, 3rd April 2007

Agenda No Item

17. **Addendum** (Pages 1 - 2)

Tabled at the meeting.

Yours sincerely



Chief Executive

**This information can be made available to you in larger print
or on audio tape, or translated into your own language.
Please telephone 01257 515118 to access this service.**

આ માહિતીનો અનુવાદ આપની પોતાની ભાષામાં કરી શકાય છે. આ સેવા સરળતાથી મેળવવા માટે કૃપા કરી, આ નંબર પર ફોન કરો: 01257 515822

ان معلومات کا ترجمہ آپ کی اپنی زبان میں بھی کیا جاسکتا ہے۔ یہ خدمت استعمال کرنے کیلئے براہ مہربانی اس نمبر پر ٹیلیفون

01257 515823

کیجئے:

COMMITTEE REPORT

REPORT OF	MEETING	DATE	ITEM NO
DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT AND REGENERATION	DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE	03/04/2007	

ADDENDUM

ITEM A.2 - 07/00244/FULMAJ- Parcel 7, Land 30m South of 60 Main Street, Buckshaw Village, Euxton

Further to consultation responses from the MAPS team (Community Safety Partnership) and the Streetscene, Neighbourhoods and Environment Directorate the layout of the scheme has been amended to improve bin storage, access, parking and security.

An additional consultation response has been received from:

United Utilities: refers to response to the previous withdrawn application.

No objections subject to conditions regarding site being drained on a separate system and surface water discharging to a watercourse/soakaway/surface water sewer with the consent of the Environment Agency.

The following additional condition is proposed:

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the original submitted plans received 28th February, 2007 and the following revised plans received 2nd April, 2007:

Drawing Number: 351/PL01 Revision 'E' Planning Layout

Drawing Number: 351/AB01 Revision 'B' Apartment Block Plots 20-37

Reason: To define the permission and ensure a satisfactory form of development.

ITEM B.4 - 07/00156/COU- 2 Lawrence Lane, Eccleston. Change of use to hot food takeaway (Class A5)

A further letter of support has been received. In it they state that the site which is becoming run down, would be well suited to the use proposed having provision for off-highway parking.

Although Eccleston has existing takeaway outlets there is certainly a need for a more diverse range. They state they are familiar with Mr Rachid's business and are impressed with the well presented and professional way he runs it. His existing business is well supported and supplies very good food. They also state he supports

local businesses in the area. Ecclestone would benefit from this development and hope these points are considered in support of the application.

ITEM B. 2 – 07/00075/FUL - 41 Wray Crescent Ulnes Walton Leyland PR26 8NH, Retrospective application for a perimeter fence

A letter has been received from the applicant in response to the recommendation to refuse planning permission for a 1.8 metre high fence. The applicant wishes to raise the following points:

1. Impact of the fence on the streetscene – In the early years of the estate most properties would have had open front gardens, this is no longer the case. Most properties now have some kind of fence, wall or hedge around the boundary.
2. Location of the fence – The fence is no different in height or location to a number of other boundary treatments on the estate.
3. General character of the area – The fence is not an incongruous feature or out of keeping with the character of the estate. There are too many different designs of wall, fence or hedge for any character to remain within the estate.
4. Taking into consideration the number of different types of materials used around the estate to form boundaries around numerous properties within the estate the fence cannot be visually detrimental or harm the visual impact of the Green Belt.

There are a number of different designs of fence at the end of Moss Lane adjoining Willow Road (photographs provided). This immediately takes away any predominantly open nature of the estate, and is also a fair example of boundary treatments to the estate.

The applicant states that they would be interested to know if the many properties around the estate with similar boundary treatments were granted planning permission or sought permission.